

**Themenliste VWL Seminar**  
**"Europäische Integration: Herausforderungen und Perspektiven" (5. Trim.)**  
**("European Integration: Challenges and Perspectives")**  
WT 2025, BSc, ISA (Blockseminar)  
Module: ISA 00576

**1. EU Institutionen: Struktur und Machtverteilung**  
**(EU institutions: Structure and power distribution)**

- Cardinale, I. and Landesmann, M. (2016). Exploring Sectoral Conflicts of Interest in the Eurozone: A Structural Political Economy Approach. *Wiiw Essays and Occasional Papers 3*.  
<https://euagenda.eu/upload/publications/untitled-71935-ea.pdf>
- Carstensen, M. B., & Schmidt, V. A. (2017). Power and changing modes of governance in the euro crisis. *Governance*, 31(4), 609–624.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12318>
- Handler, H. (2021). *Krisengeprüftes Europa: Wie wir die Solidarität in der EU stärken können (German Edition)* (1. Aufl. 2021). Springer Gabler.
- Palmisano, F., & Sacchi, A. (2024). Trust in public institutions, inequality, and digital interaction: Empirical evidence from European Union countries. *Journal of Macroeconomics*, 79, 103582.
- Schimmelfennig, F., & Tekin, F. (2023). Die differenzierte Integration und die Zukunft der Europäischen Union: Konsolidierung, Krisen und Erweiterung. *integration*, 46(2), 94-114.
- Spolaore, E. (2015). The political economy of European integration (No. w21250). *National Bureau of Economic Research*.
- Weingast, B. R. (1995). The Economic Role of Political Institutions: Market-Preserving Federalism and Economic Development. *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*, 11(1), 1–31. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/765068>

**2. Zufriedenheit mit der Demokratie und die Rolle des Populismus in der EU**  
**(Satisfaction with Democracy, and the role of populism in the EU)**

- Algan, Y., Guriev, S., Papaioannou, E., & Passari, E. (2017). The European Trust Crisis and the Rise of Populism. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 309–382.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/90019460>
- Dluhosch, B., Horgos, D. & Zimmermann, W. (2016). EU enlargement and satisfaction with democracy: a peculiar case of immiserizing growth. *Constitutional Political Economy*, 27(3), 273-298. <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10602-015-9202-6>
- Foster, C., & Frieden, J. (2021). Economic determinants of public support for European integration, 1995–2018. *European Union Politics*, 22(2), 266–292.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1465116521994181>
- Gold, R. (2021). Wie kann Wirtschaftspolitik zur Eindämmung des Populismus beitragen? *Wirtschaftsdienst*, 101(7), 500–504. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10273-021-2955-9>

- Bulmer, S., & Quaglia, L. (2018). The politics and economics of Brexit. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 25(8), 1089–1098. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2018.1467957>

### 3. Die Handelspolitik und die Globale Strategie der EU (The EU's trade policy and global strategy)

- Barbé, E., & Morillas, P. (2019). The EU global strategy: the dynamics of a more politicized and politically integrated foreign policy. *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*, 32(6), 753–770. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09557571.2019.1588227>
- Dür, A., Eckhardt, J., & Poletti, A. (2019). Global value chains, the anti-globalization backlash, and EU trade policy: a research agenda. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 27(6), 944–956. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2019.1619802>
- Felbermayr, G. (2023). Handels-und Machtpolitik zur Zeitenwende. In *Gleichheit in einer ungleichen Welt* (pp. 181-200). Wallstein Verlag.
- Hilpert, H. G., & Rudloff, B. (2024). EU-Handelspolitik: Die neue Nachhaltigkeitsfalle für handelspolitische Partnerschaften. *Ifo Schnelldienst*, 77(1), 3-7.
- Petersen, T. (2023). Strategische Handelspolitik 2.0. *Wirtschaftsdienst*, 103(5), 326-331.

### 4. Der „Brüssel Effekt“ – Eine polit-ökonomische Analyse (The “Brussels Effect” – A political-economic analysis)

- Bradford, A. (2019). The Brussels Effect: How the European Union Rules the World. Oxford: Oxford Scholarship Online. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190088583.001.0001>
- Christen, E., Meyer, B., Oberhofer, H., Hinz, J., Kamin, K., & Wanner, J. (2022). The Brussels Effect 2.0. How the EU Sets Global Standards with its Trade Policy. *FIW-Research Report No. 07*, Vienna. [https://fiw.ac.at/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/FIW\\_PN\\_07\\_2022\\_Brussels-Effect.pdf](https://fiw.ac.at/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/FIW_PN_07_2022_Brussels-Effect.pdf)
- Conconi, P., Herghelegiu, C., & Puccio, L. (2021). EU trade agreements: To mix or not to mix, that is the question. *Journal of World Trade*, 55(2). <https://doi.org/10.54648/trad2021009>
- Da Conceição-Heldt, E., & Meunier, S. (2014). Speaking with a Single Voice: Internal Cohesiveness and External Effectiveness of the EU in Global Governance. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 21(7), 961-79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2014.913219>
- Sinopoli, D.; Purnhagen, K. (2016). Reversed Harmonization or Horizontalization of EU Standards: Does WTO Law Facilitate or Constrain the Brussels effect. *Wis. Int'l LJ*, 34, 92. [https://wilj.law.wisc.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/1270/2017/12/SinopoliPurnhagen\\_Final.pdf](https://wilj.law.wisc.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/1270/2017/12/SinopoliPurnhagen_Final.pdf)

### 5. COVID-19 und die Auswirkung auf die EU (COVID-19 and the impact on the EU)

- Celi, G., Guarascio, D., & Simonazzi, A. (2020). A fragile and divided European Union meets Covid-19: further disintegration or ‘Hamiltonian moment’? *Journal of Industrial and Business Economics*, 47(3), 411–424. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40812-020-00165-8>

- Cernat, L. (ed.) (2020). Trade policy reflections beyond the COVID19 outbreak. *European Commission, Chief Economist Note*, 2, 1-28. [https://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2020/july/tradoc\\_158859.pdf](https://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2020/july/tradoc_158859.pdf)
- Issing, O. (2020). The COVID-19 crisis: A Hamilton moment for the European Union? *International Finance*, 23(2), 340–347. <https://doi.org/10.1111/infi.12377>
- Ladi, S., & Tsarouhas, D. (2020). EU economic governance and Covid-19: policy learning and windows of opportunity. *Journal of European Integration*, 42(8), 1041-1056.
- Landesmann, M. A. (2020). Covid-19 crisis: centrifugal vs. centripetal forces in the EU—a political-economic analysis. *Journal of Industrial and Business Economics*, 47(3), 439–453. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40812-020-00171-w>
- Quaglia, L., & Verdun, A. (2023). Explaining the response of the ECB to the COVID-19 related economic crisis: inter-crisis and intra-crisis learning. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 30(4), 635-654.
- Thöne, M. (2021). Next Generation EU—der erste von vielen Schritten ist getan. *Ifo Schnelldienst*, 74(2), 16-19. [https://www.ifo-koeln.org/images/aktuelles/2021/sd-2021-02-next-generation-eu\\_thoene.pdf](https://www.ifo-koeln.org/images/aktuelles/2021/sd-2021-02-next-generation-eu_thoene.pdf)

## 6. Geo- und Sicherheitspolitik: Eine neue Richtschnur für die internationale Handelspolitik?

### (Geopolitics and Security Policy: New Guidelines for International Trade Policy?)

- Blackwill, R. D., & Harris, J. M. (2016). *War by other means: Geoeconomics and statecraft*. Harvard University Press.
- Felbermayr, G. (2023). Krieg mit anderen Mitteln. *Wirtschaftsdienst*, 103(13), 5-14.
- Gehrke, T. (2022). EU open strategic autonomy and the trappings of geoeconomics. *European Foreign Affairs Review*, 27(Special).
- Haroche, P. (2024). Geoeconomic power Europe: When global power competition drives EU integration. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*.
- Luttwak, E. N. (1990). From geopolitics to geo-economics: Logic of conflict, grammar of commerce. *The national interest*, (20), 17-23.
- Sattich, T., & Inderberg, T. H. J. (2019). EU geoeconomics: A framework for analyzing bilateral relations in the European Union. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, 57(3), 502-514.

## 7. Die neue EU-Handelspolitik: Die “Chip-Industrie“

### (The new EU trade policy: The “Chip-industry”)

- Bardt, H., Röhl, K. H., & Rusche, C. (2022). Subsidizing Semiconductor Production for a Strategically Autonomous European Union?. *The Economists' Voice*, 19(1), 37-58. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ev-2022-0007>
- Dachs, B. (2023). *The European Chips Act* (No. 58). FIW-Kurzbericht. <https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/274201/1/1846231280.pdf>
- Germann, J., Rolf, S., Baines, J., & Starrs, S. K. (2024). A Chip War Made in Germany? US techno-dependencies, China chokepoints, and the German semiconductor industry. *Politics and Governance*, 12.
- Luo, Y., & Van Assche, A. (2023). The rise of techno-geopolitical uncertainty: Implications of the United States CHIPS and Science Act. *Journal of international business studies*, 1-18. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1057/s41267-023-00620-3>

- Poitiers, N. F., & Weil, P. (2022). Fishing for Chips: Assessing the EU Chips Act. [https://www.ifri.org/sites/default/files/atoms/files/poitiers\\_weil\\_eu\\_chips\\_act\\_2022.pdf](https://www.ifri.org/sites/default/files/atoms/files/poitiers_weil_eu_chips_act_2022.pdf)
- Röhl, K. H., & Rusche, C. (2022). *Der EU-Chips-Act: Eine Chance für Halbleiter aus Europa?* (No. 7/2022). IW-Kurzbericht. <https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/251041/1/1793850046.pdf>

## 8. Die EU und die USA: Chancen und Herausforderungen (EU and the U.S.: Opportunities and challenges)

- Baur, A., Flach, L., & Hillrichs, D. (2024). German-US trade relations before the election: Implications of a Trump comeback. In *EconPol Forum* (Vol. 25, No. 5, pp. 27-31). Munich: CESifo GmbH.
- Bertelsmann Foundation, The German Marshall Fund of the United States, Institut Montaigne (2020). State of the Transatlantic Relationship. [https://www.gmfus.org/sites/default/files/TT20\\_Final.pdf](https://www.gmfus.org/sites/default/files/TT20_Final.pdf)
- Bouët, A., Sall, L. M., & Zheng, Y. (2024). Trump 2.0 Tariffs: What Cost for the World Economy?. *Policy Brief*, 49.
- Braml, M. & Felbermayr, G. (2021). Die USA sind mit großem Abstand Europas wichtigster Wirtschaftspartner. *Kiel Policy Brief*, No. 150. <https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/232524/1/1753149223.pdf>
- Grundke, R., & Moser, C. (2019). Hidden protectionism? Evidence from non-tariff barriers to trade in the United States. *Journal of International Economics*, 117, 143-157.

## 9. EU-China-Beziehungen: Eine ökonomische Analyse (An Economic analysis of the EU-China relation)

- Algieri, F. (2024). Die Europäische Union und China. In *Jahrbuch der Europäischen Integration 2024* (pp. 349-354). Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG.
- Baur, A., & Flach, L. (2024). Zeitenwende in den deutsch-chinesischen Handelsbeziehungen?
- Carry, I., Godehardt, N., & Müller, M. (2023). *Die Zukunft europäisch-chinesischer Rohstofflieferketten: Drei Szenarien für das Jahr 2030-und was sich daraus ergibt* (No. 15/2023). SWP-Aktuell.
- Flach, L. (2021). Die EU braucht eine klare USA-China-Strategie. *Wirtschaftsdienst*, 101(4), 238–239. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10273-021-2881-x>
- Grimmel, A., & Eszterhai, V. (2020). The Belt and Road Initiative and the Development of China's Economic Statecraft: European Attitudes and Responses. *International Studies*, 57(3), 223–239. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020881720925223>
- Gurol, J., & Rodríguez, F. (2020). 10. Desintegration statt Konnektivität? Chinas Belt and Road Initiative als außenpolitische Herausforderung für die EU. In *Die neue Europäische Union* (pp. 235-258). Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG.
- Sarsenbayev, M., & Véron, N. (2020). European versus American Perspectives on the Belt and Road Initiative. *China & World Economy*, 28(2), 84–112. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cwe.12322>

## 10. Die EU und Russland (The EU and Russia)

- Béliń, M., & Hanousek, J. (2021). Which sanctions matter? analysis of the EU/Russian sanctions of 2014. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 49(1), 244–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2020.07.001>
- Petersen, T., Nölke, A., Rochlitz, M., Grauvogel, J., Medunic, F., Konrad, K. A., & Thum, M. (2023). Sanktionen gegen Russland: Wurde ihre Wirkung überschätzt? Eine Zwischenbilanz. *ifo Schnelldienst*, 76(05), 03-22.
- Siddi, M. (2018). The Role of Power in EU–Russia Energy Relations: The Interplay between Markets and Geopolitics. *Europe-Asia Studies*, 70(10), 1552–1571. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09668136.2018.1536925>
- Schmidt-Felzmann, A. (2019). Between Geopolitics and Market Rules: The EU's Energy Interdependence with Russia. In Raik, K., & Rácz, A. (Eds.). *Post-Crimea Shift in EU-Russia Relations: From Fostering Interdependence to Managing Vulnerabilities*. International Centre for Defence and Security.
- Walter, T. (2022). Die ökonomischen Auswirkungen des Russlandembargos der EU. *ifo Schnelldienst*, 75(08), 38-42.

## 11. Die EU und Lateinamerika (The EU and Latin America)

- Gerards Iglesias, S., Krpata, M., & Kuss, A. H. P. (2024). *Zwischen "strategischer Autonomie" und "Zeitenwende": Die Bedeutung des Handels zwischen der EU und Mercosur. Über den wirtschaftlichen und strategischen Wert von Handelsbeziehungen* (No. 8/2024). IW-Policy Paper.
- Gratius, S. (2018). Brazil and the European Union: from liberal inter-regionalism to realist bilateralism. *Revista Brasileira De Política Internacional*, 61(1). <https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-7329201800103>
- Maihold, G. (2021). Vom Nebeneinander zu neuem Miteinander: die deutsche Lateinamerika-Politik braucht neue Ansatzpunkte. *SWP-Aktuell*, 58/2021. <https://doi.org/10.18449/2021A58>
- Serbin, A., and Serbin Pont, A. (Ed.) (2018). Why should the European Union have any relevance for Latin America and the Caribbean?. *EU-LAC*. <https://eulacfoundation.org/en/system/files/why-should-eu-relevance-lac-en-web.pdf>
- Tostes, A. P., & Albuquerque, M. (2024). Unpacking the impact of mega-regional agreements: the EU–Mercosur case. *Third World Quarterly*, 45(8), 1418-1435.

## 12. Die Zentralisierungstendenz in der Europäischen Integration (Centralization tendencies in European integration)

- Dunleavy, P., & Kirchgässner, G. (2000). Explaining the centralization of the European Union: a public choice analysis. In: Moser, P., Schneider, G., Kirchgässner, G. (eds) *Decision Rules in the European Union*. Palgrave Macmillan, London. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-62792-9\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-62792-9_7)
- Salmon, P. (2002). Accounting for centralization in the European Union: Niskanen, Monnet or Thatcher? *Laboratoire d'analyse et de techniques économiques (LATEC)*. <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01526519/>

- Schmid, P.A. (2021). Binnenmarkt, Steuerharmonisierung und Dezentralisierung. In: Bergbauer H., Mann G. (eds) *Neugestaltung der Staatenwelt im 21. Jahrhundert*. Springer Gabler, Wiesbaden. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-30854-4\\_17](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-30854-4_17)
- Vaubel, R. (1992). Die politische Ökonomie der wirtschaftspolitischen Zentralisierung in der Europäischen Gemeinschaft. *Jahrbuch für Neue Politische Ökonomie*, 11, 30-65.

### 13. Fiskalpolitische Regelbindung: Lehren für Europa (Rules-Based Fiscal Policies: Lessons for Europe)

- Begg, I. (2017). Fiscal and Other Rules in EU Economic Governance: Helpful, Largely Irrelevant or Unenforceable? *National Institute Economic Review*, 239, R3–R13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002795011723900110>
- Buchanan, J. M., & Lee, D. R. (1994). On a Fiscal Constitution for the European Union. *Journal Des Économistes Et Des Études Humaines*, 5(2–3). <https://doi.org/10.1515/jeeh-1994-2-301>
- Beetsma, R., Thygesen, N., Cugnasca, A., Orseau, E., Eliofotou, P., Santacroce, S. & Debrun, X. (2019). Beyond fiscal rules: How domestic fiscal frameworks can contribute to sound fiscal policy. <https://voxeu.org/article/how-domestic-fiscal-frameworks-can-contribute-sound-fiscal-policy>
- Guttenberg, L., Hemker, J., & Tordoir, S. (2021). Alles wird anders — Wie die Pandemie die EU-Finanzarchitektur verändert. *Wirtschaftsdienst*, 101(2), 90–94. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10273-021-2848-y>
- Merrifield, J.; Poulson, B. (2016). Swedish and Swiss Fiscal-Rule Outcomes Contain Key Lessons for the United States. *The Independent Review*, 21(2), 2151-274. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43999694>

### 14. Die Energiekrise in der EU (The energy crisis in the EU)

- Holtemöller, O., Kooths, S., Schmidt, T., & Wollmershäuser, T. (2022). Gemeinschaftsdiagnose: Energiekrise, Inflation, Rezession und Wohlstandsverlust. *Wirtschaftsdienst*, 102(10), 761–765. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10273-022-3291-4>
- Coyle, E. D., & Simmons, R. A. (2014). *Understanding the global energy crisis*. Purdue University Press.
- McWilliams, B., G. Sgaravatti, S. Tagliapietra & Zachmann, G. (2022). A grand bargain to steer through the European Union’s energy crisis. *Policy Contribution* 14/2022, Bruegel. [http://aei.pitt.edu/103821/1/PC\\_14\\_2022\\_2.pdf](http://aei.pitt.edu/103821/1/PC_14_2022_2.pdf)
- Schmidt-Felzmann, A. (2019). Between Geopolitics and Market Rules: The EU’s Energy Interdependence with Russia. In Raik, K., & Rácz, A. (eds.). *Post-Crimea Shift in EU-Russia Relations: From Fostering Interdependence to Managing Vulnerabilities*. International Centre for Defence and Security.
- Auclert, A., Monnery, H., Rognlie, M., & Straub, L. (2023). *Managing an Energy Shock: Fiscal and Monetary Policy*. Working Paper.

Ab sofort (bis zur ersten Sitzung des Seminars) kann ein Thema zur Bearbeitung übernommen werden. Anmeldung und Themenvergabe sind per Email möglich ([ana.soliz@hsu-hh.de](mailto:ana.soliz@hsu-hh.de)).

Die Vergabe der Themen erfolgt nach dem Windhundverfahren nach der Reihenfolge des Eingangs der Emails und Bestätigung. Die Anmeldung ist verbindlich und wird bei Nicht-Bearbeitung mit der Note 5.0 bewertet.

Nähere Informationen (Termin/e, Formalia und Abgabe der Seminararbeiten etc.) folgen nach der Anmeldung.